HEAVY METAL CERTIFIED · RESEARCH ANALYSIS

Why Infant Formula Carries an Aluminum-Dominated Metal Load

Karen Pendergrass · ORCID 0000-0002-2348-7259 · Heavy Metal Certified · August 2026

Cite as: Heavy Metal Certified. "Why Infant Formula Carries an Aluminum-Dominated Metal Load". https://heavymetalcertified.com/articles/infant-formula-metal-amplification. CC BY 4.0.

Abstract

Manufactured infant formula carries a heavy-metal profile dominated by aluminum, running roughly ten to forty times the aluminum concentration of breastmilk, together with detectable lead and cadmium in most products. Prepared formula measures around 100 to 760 µg/L of aluminum against roughly 15 to 30 µg/L in breastmilk, and the load rises further in soy-based and powdered formats — a pattern reproduced across surveys in Canada, Pakistan, the United Kingdom, Brazil, and a global review. Because an infant fed formula as a sole diet receives this load across the developmental window, per-serving surveillance built for varied adult diets understates the exposure for exactly the population that matters. The certification consequence is direct: an infant-formula standard has to carry the full analyte panel, aluminum included, and rely on lot-level finished-product testing, because the load varies with base — soy versus dairy — and format — powder versus ready-to-feed — rather than with the recipe on paper. The full anchor-source evidence base lives on the Heavy Metal Index, the independent literature reference this analysis cites one way.

An Aluminum-Dominated Load, Many Times Breastmilk

Breastmilk sets the biological baseline for an infant's metal intake: aluminum around 15 to 30 µg/L, with low lead and cadmium in the absence of maternal exposure. Manufactured formula sits well above that baseline. Measuring aluminum by graphite-furnace atomic absorption in fifteen United Kingdom products, one survey found ready-made liquid formulas ranging from 175.5 to 700.4 µg/L and reconstituted powders from 333.3 to 629.0 µg/L, with a preterm formula highest at a 700.4 µg/L mean — a gap the authors characterized as ten to forty times the breastmilk concentration [1]. A resurvey of thirty products three years later found the aluminum content had not fallen, with two soy-based powders the highest at 656 and 756 µg/L [2].

The amplification is not a single-laboratory artifact. It reproduces across independent research lineages and national markets, and it is a property of the product class rather than of one contaminated batch. Modelled at ordinary feeding volumes, estimated aluminum ingestion at six months of age spanned 206 to 592 µg per 24 hours in the first survey, the maximum falling on a soy-based powder [1]. This is delivered during the developmental window in which the infant gut is most permeable, the kidney least able to clear aluminum, and the brain most vulnerable.

The Soy and Powder Gradients Are Reproducible

Every survey that resolves protein source finds soy-based formula running higher than cow-milk-based formula. A format-resolved Health Canada survey reported powdered soy-based formula at an aluminum mean of 733 ng/g against 177 ng/g for powdered milk-based formula, with cadmium likewise several times higher in the soy product; across formats the soy type carried roughly two to five times the aluminum and five to nine times the cadmium of its milk-based counterpart [3]. A Pakistani survey of imported formulas found the same ordering on all three metals, with soy-based aluminum, cadmium and lead each above the milk-based means [4].

A global scoping review of 251 infant formulas confirmed the gradient at the level of detection frequency: cadmium was detected in 91 percent of soy-based items versus 44 percent of cow-based items, and lead in 84 versus 73 percent [5]. The format axis matters as much as the protein axis. Powders are reconstituted at the point of use, so the prepared feed the infant actually receives — not the dry powder — is the quantity that carries the load, and it depends on the mixing water as well as the powder.

Why the Load Is Engineered In

The divergence from breastmilk is not contamination in the ordinary sense; it enters at identifiable points in how formula is made. The dominant contributor is the ingredient matrix. Soybean accumulates aluminum in the growing plant, particularly on the acid soils where aluminum-tolerant cultivars are grown, so soy-protein formula starts from a higher raw-material aluminum content than cow-milk formula — which is why the soy-over-milk gradient appears in every survey that resolves protein source [3].

Processing adds to it. In soy-based beverages, aluminum rose with the degree of fractionation: an isolate-protein product averaged 758 µg/L against 176 µg/L for a minimally processed whole-soybean product, implicating protein-isolation and concentration steps rather than the raw commodity alone [6]. The Canadian survey pointed the same way from the opposite direction: every manufacturer was able to produce milk-based formula below 50 ng/g of aluminum, while observed values ran several times higher, and ready-to-use formula stored in glass held 100 to 300 ng/g more aluminum than the same formula in metal cans — an increment the study traced to formula ingredients and processing, not the container [3].

One caveat belongs in the record. Total aluminum is not absorbed aluminum: under simulated digestion the bioaccessible fraction of infant-food aluminum ranged from 0.5 to 48 percent across matrices, so the delivered-load amplification overstates the absorbed-dose amplification by a factor that varies with food composition [7]. The same Brazilian survey still estimated that three daily portions of a soy-based drink could reach the full infant aluminum provisional tolerable weekly intake [7].

Detection Is the Norm, and Aluminum Is the Blind Spot

Across the global corpus, contaminant detection in infant formula is ordinary rather than exceptional: lead was detected in 74 percent of formulas, cadmium in 61 percent, arsenic in 63 percent, and mercury in 42 percent, and health-risk assessments clustered for stage 1 and stage 2 formulas in infants under twelve months, particularly for arsenic, lead and cadmium [5]. Two features make conventional per-serving surveillance a poor fit for this category.

The first is exclusivity of diet. A contaminant median that reads as a minor per-serving contribution for an adult eating varied food is the entire dietary intake for an infant fed formula as a sole food across the developmental window; the exposure follows from consumption frequency at high body-weight-normalized intake, not from any single high concentration. The second is a monitoring gap specific to aluminum. Formula sits outside the terrestrial-commodity streams that anchor most food-safety databases, and aluminum in particular is frequently absent from them — it falls outside the four-metal priority of the United States Food and Drug Administration's Closer to Zero program, which addresses lead, arsenic, cadmium and mercury in foods for infants and young children. The result is a category whose dominant metal by mass is also its least routinely monitored, and whose format and protein-source gradients are reproducible but reflected in no format-specific limit.

What this means for certification

The finding maps cleanly onto how an infant-formula standard has to be built. First, the analyte panel must be complete. Aluminum is the dominant metal in this matrix, yet it has no formula-specific maximum in the major frameworks and sits outside the four-metal infant-food priorities; a credible standard therefore has to test for it alongside lead, cadmium, arsenic and mercury rather than inheriting the panel from programs that omit it. Under the program's tiering, aluminum is a Tier-2 metal and adopts the strictest maximum level set by a credible government regulator directly, while lead, cadmium and inorganic arsenic are Tier-1 toxics set to the stricter of that government maximum and the cleaner end of the occurrence evidence.

Second, the testing has to be lot-level and on the finished product. The load is not a fixed property of a brand or recipe: it varies with base — soy versus dairy — and with format — powder versus ready-to-feed — by factors the literature puts at several-fold, and for powders it has to be assessed on the as-consumed, reconstituted basis, because the prepared feed is what the infant receives and the mixing water is an additional, unquantified vector. Soy-based and powdered formats are the higher-risk cells and warrant denser sampling. The load-bearing regulatory fact is that clean is achievable: every manufacturer in the Canadian survey could already produce milk-based formula below 50 ng/g of aluminum [3], which turns the question from feasibility to enforcement — exactly what a finished-product limit, applied per lot, is for. The separate powder and ready-to-feed standards exist because the two formats carry different loads and have to be certified against their own evidence.

Frequently asked questions

Does infant formula contain more heavy metals than breastmilk?

Yes, especially aluminum. Prepared formula carries aluminum at roughly ten to forty times the concentration in breastmilk — about 100 to 760 µg/L versus 15 to 30 µg/L — and lead and cadmium are detectable in most products. The gap reflects how formula is made, not a single contaminated batch, and it is largest for soy-based and powdered products.

Why does soy-based infant formula have more heavy metals than dairy-based?

Soybean accumulates aluminum in the growing plant, so soy-protein formula starts from a higher raw-material metal content than cow-milk formula, and processing concentrates it further. Surveys in Canada, Pakistan and a global review all found soy-based formula higher than milk-based on aluminum, cadmium and lead — including cadmium detected in 91 percent of soy items versus 44 percent of cow-based items.

Should infant formula be tested for aluminum?

Yes. Aluminum is the dominant metal by mass in formula, yet it falls outside the four-metal priority (lead, arsenic, cadmium, mercury) of programs like the FDA's Closer to Zero and has no formula-specific limit in the major frameworks. A complete certification panel tests aluminum alongside the other four, and for powders measures it on the reconstituted, as-consumed basis.

Is the aluminum in formula fully absorbed by an infant?

No. Total aluminum overstates the absorbed dose. Under simulated digestion, the bioaccessible fraction of aluminum in infant foods ranged from 0.5 to 48 percent depending on the food matrix. The delivered-load amplification over breastmilk is real, but the absorbed-dose difference is directionally the same and smaller.

Why do powder and ready-to-feed formula need separate standards?

Because the metal load varies with format. Powders are reconstituted at the point of use, so the prepared feed — not the dry powder — is what the infant consumes, and its concentration depends on the mixing water as well as the powder. Ready-to-feed liquids carry a different load again, so each format is certified on lot-level finished-product testing against its own evidence.

References

[1] Aluminum in United Kingdom infant formulas by graphite-furnace atomic absorption. Burrell and Exley, 2010. Aluminum in UK ready-made formula 175.5–700.4 µg/L and reconstituted powders 333.3–629.0 µg/L, about ten to forty times the breastmilk level of 15–30 µg/L.

[2] The aluminum content of United Kingdom infant formulas on repeat survey. Chuchu et al., 2013. Thirty UK products resurveyed three years later; aluminum had not fallen, with two soy-based powders highest at 656 and 756 µg/L.

[3] Lead, cadmium and aluminum in Canadian infant formulae by format and protein source. Dabeka et al., 2011 (Health Canada). Powdered soy-based formula aluminum mean 733 ng/g versus 177 ng/g for milk-based; every manufacturer could produce milk-based formula below 50 ng/g aluminum.

[4] Toxic elements in imported milk- and soy-based infant formulae sold in Pakistan. Kazi et al., 2009. Soy-based formula higher than milk-based on all three metals (dry-powder basis): aluminum 2270 versus 1018.5 µg/kg, cadmium 11.7 versus 7.86 µg/kg, lead 109.4 versus 64.2 µg/kg.

[5] Heavy metals in processed baby foods and infant formulas worldwide: a scoping review. Collado-Lopez et al., 2025. Across 251 infant formulas, lead detected in 74%, cadmium 61%, arsenic 63%, mercury 42%; cadmium in 91% of soy-based versus 44% of cow-based items.

[6] Trace elements and in vitro bioaccessibility in soy-based beverages. Milani et al., 2023. Aluminum rose with processing: isolate-protein soy beverage mean 758 µg/L versus 176 µg/L for whole-soybean.

[7] Total aluminum and in vitro bioaccessibility in Brazilian infant foods. de Paiva et al., 2020. Soy-based drink aluminum up to 2860 µg/kg; bioaccessible fraction 0.5–48% of total; three daily portions could reach the full infant aluminum provisional tolerable weekly intake.

[8] Heavy Metal Index — infant formula metal amplification (synthesis with full anchor-source records). The independent literature synthesis this analysis reframes, carrying all seven anchor sources and their per-record pages. · heavymetalindex.com

Cite this analysis