HEAVY METAL CERTIFIED · RESEARCH ANALYSIS

Why Tea Heavy-Metal Limits Must Measure the Cup, Not the Leaf

Karen Pendergrass · ORCID 0000-0002-2348-7259 · Heavy Metal Certified · August 2026

Cite as: Heavy Metal Certified. "Why Tea Heavy-Metal Limits Must Measure the Cup, Not the Leaf". https://heavymetalcertified.com/articles/tea-multi-metal-bioaccumulation. CC BY 4.0.

Abstract

Heavy-metal exposure from tea is governed by the leaf-to-infusion transfer fraction — the share of each metal that actually leaches from the leaf into the brewed cup — not by the dry-leaf totals that national surveillance and product standards almost always report. Because only the infusion is consumed, dry-leaf figures systematically misstate the dose: brewing carries roughly a sixth of the leaf lead and aluminium into the cup, most of it staying in the spent leaf, while cadmium can transfer at nearly half the leaf load from some origins. Across eight datasets the leaf-basis and infusion-basis pictures can even point in opposite directions, so a dry-leaf number and a cup number cannot be compared without applying a transfer fraction. The certification consequence is direct: a tea standard has to measure the infusion-relevant fraction under a disclosed brewing protocol, or model transfer metal by metal, because the metric has to match the exposure. The full anchor-source evidence base lives on the Heavy Metal Index, the independent literature reference this analysis cites one way.

The Basis Trap: Leaf Totals Are Not the Cup

Heavy-metal exposure from tea is set by one quantity the standard literature rarely reports: the leaf-to-infusion transfer fraction, the share of each metal that actually leaches out of the leaf and into the cup. Only the infusion is swallowed, so the dry-leaf totals that national surveillance and product standards almost always publish measure the commodity, not the dose. The gap is large and metal-specific. In the one corpus source giving explicit per-metal leaching, only 16.9 percent of the lead in Chinese-origin green tea moved into the brew, leaving roughly five-sixths in the spent leaf [1]. A systematic review of black tea reached the same order of magnitude from a different evidence base, with infusion concentrations roughly six to ten times lower than the dry herb [2].

The practical result is a basis trap. A programme that measures dry-leaf lead at about 1 mg/kg and compares it to a food limit overstates the consumer dose by roughly a factor of six, because only about a sixth of that lead ever reaches the cup [1]. Yet the opposite error is just as easy: assuming infusion exposure is negligible without measuring it is unsupported, because some metals transfer far more readily than lead. A dry-leaf number and a cup number are not comparable without applying a transfer fraction, and for tea that fraction is the whole question.

What the Dry Leaf Carries, and the Leaf-Age Trap

On a dry-leaf basis the occurrence picture is coherent and, for the classic toxic metals, reassuring. A national reconnaissance of 225 paired soil and plant samples across 45 gardens in 15 provinces reported young-leaf means of 0.66 mg/kg lead, 0.06 mg/kg cadmium and 0.91 mg/kg chromium [3]. A meta-analysis of 227 papers put national means at 1.09 mg/kg lead, 0.14 mg/kg cadmium, 1.17 mg/kg total chromium, 0.21 mg/kg total arsenic and 0.04 mg/kg total mercury, all below the Chinese leaf limits with pollution rates under three percent for every metal [4]. The consistency across independent Chinese datasets makes the leaf-basis lead and cadmium load look like a stable property of the commodity rather

than a regional anomaly.

The exception is leaf age, and it is a large one. The same reconnaissance measured young and mature leaves separately and found mean lead rising from 0.66 mg/kg in young leaf to 13.6 mg/kg in mature leaf, with 26.7 percent of mature-leaf samples exceeding the 2.0 mg/kg organic threshold while young leaves were universally below it [3]. Most marketed tea is young-flush leaf, but lower grades and some compressed and processed teas carry mature leaf, so grade can move the leaf-basis lead result by more than a factor of twenty — a wider swing than growing region produces.

Aluminium, the Metal Surveillance Skips

Conventional heavy-metal surveillance is built around lead, cadmium and arsenic and tends to omit the metal that actually dominates tea by mass. Tea is a true aluminium hyperaccumulator: one Jiangxi survey reported a mean leaf aluminium of 487.57 mg/kg, more than twenty times the next-highest toxic metal, and found that lead, cadmium, copper and aluminium mainly stay in the leaf rather than transferring to the infusion [5]. Aluminium has no tolerable-intake anchor in this corpus comparable to the lead or cadmium reference values, so its significance is a matter of mass and magnitude rather than a quantified limit.

That mass still matters in the cup. A Guizhou case study recorded mature-leaf aluminium of 4,300 to 10,400 mg/kg against 250 to 660 mg/kg in young leaf from the same gardens, an age effect steeper than for any other element, and found that 38.46 percent of mature-tea infusions carried a hazard index above one, driven by aluminium and manganese — even though every leaf-basis lead, cadmium, arsenic, mercury and chromium value in the same study sat below the Chinese limits [6]. The leaf-basis and infusion-basis pictures can therefore point in opposite directions.

How Much Actually Reaches the Cup

Transfer is metal-specific and origin-dependent, which is why a single leaf-to-cup ratio cannot stand in for all of them. In the explicit leaching study, lead moved at 16.9 percent for Chinese-origin tea, but cadmium leached far more readily — about 43.8 to 46.3 percent for Chinese- and Indian-origin teas and 17.6 percent for Japanese [1]. Brewing conditions matter too: extending steeping from three to ten minutes increased extracted lead two to three times, and infusion concentrations fall with each successive brew, which is the evidence behind the practice of discarding the first infusion [2][5].

The infusion-native measurements in the corpus confirm the as-consumed concentrations are low in absolute terms. Direct measurement of brewed green tea returned means of 0.891 µg/L lead, 0.325 µg/L total arsenic and 0.214 µg/L cadmium, within the provisional tolerable weekly intake even at 21 to 70 cups per week [7]. Origin remains a real determinant rather than a guarantee: every Chinese-origin sample carried a higher in-cup metal index than the Sri Lankan samples [7], and about 80 percent of young-leaf lead has been attributed to atmospheric deposition rather than soil uptake, which is why proximity to industry and traffic tracks the load [4].

What this means for certification

The consequence for a certification standard is direct: for tea the exposure-relevant quantity is the infusion concentration under a defined and disclosed brewing protocol, not the dry-leaf total. A standard that certifies tea on dry-leaf milligrams per kilogram over-represents exposure for lead and aluminium, which mostly stay in the spent leaf, and can under-represent it for cadmium, which transfers at nearly half the leaf load from some origins [1]. Because the leaf-basis and infusion-basis pictures can point in opposite directions [6], the honest instrument measures the infusion-relevant fraction directly, or models

per-metal transfer with origin and grade documented.

The program's default limit for any product and analyte is the strictest maximum level set by a credible government regulator, converted to the product's own basis. Lead and cadmium are two of the four Tier-1 toxics, so for tea their ceiling can be set to the stricter of that government maximum and the cleaner end of the occurrence evidence, expressed on the basis actually consumed. Aluminium is a Tier-2 metal that adopts the government limit directly, but it also falls outside most heavy-metal limit lists entirely, leaving the dominant metal in the matrix largely ungoverned; the infusion evidence shows why that gap is worth closing [7]. One caveat bounds all of this: the corpus reports total arsenic, total chromium and total mercury and speciates none of them, so a tea standard cannot yet take an inorganic-arsenic or hexavalent-chromium position from this evidence and should say so rather than imply a speciated basis it does not have. The finding is not that tea is dangerous at typical consumption — the infusion concentrations are low [7] — but that the number which certifies it has to be measured on the cup, because the metric has to match the exposure.

Frequently asked questions

Does brewing remove heavy metals from tea leaves?

Only partly, and it depends on the metal. Brewing transferred just 16.9 percent of the lead in Chinese-origin green tea into the cup, leaving most in the spent leaf, while cadmium leached at roughly 44 to 46 percent from Chinese and Indian teas. Lead and aluminium largely stay in the leaf; cadmium moves far more readily.

Are dry-leaf heavy-metal results a good measure of what a tea drinker consumes?

No. Because only the infusion is swallowed, dry-leaf totals overstate the lead and aluminium dose by roughly a factor of six, and they can understate cadmium risk because that metal transfers more readily. A dry-leaf figure and an in-cup figure cannot be compared without applying a per-metal transfer fraction.

Which heavy metal is highest in tea?

Aluminium, by a wide margin. Tea is an aluminium hyperaccumulator, with a leaf mean reported around 488 mg/kg and mature-leaf values reaching 4,300 to 10,400 mg/kg, more than twenty times the next metal. Aluminium lacks a tolerable-intake anchor in this evidence, but it still drove a hazard index above one in 38.46 percent of mature-tea infusions in one study.

Is tea a high heavy-metal exposure risk?

Not at typical consumption. Direct measurement of brewed green tea found means below 1 µg/L for lead, arsenic and cadmium, within the provisional tolerable weekly intake even at 21 to 70 cups per week. The finding is about how tea is measured, on the leaf versus in the cup, not that ordinary tea drinking is dangerous.

References

[1] Per-metal leaching fractions in green tea infusions across geographic origins. Brzezicha-Cirocka et al., 2016. Brewed 41 green teas of Chinese, Indian and Japanese origin; lead leached at 16.9% and cadmium at 17.6 to 46.3% depending on origin, the only corpus source giving explicit per-metal transfer fractions.

[2] Heavy metals in tea herb and infusion: a systematic review of transfer and reduction. Kazeminia et al., 2023. Systematic review reporting black-tea infusion concentrations roughly six to ten times lower than the dry herb, with extracted lead rising two to three times as steeping went from three to ten minutes.

[3] National reconnaissance of trace metals in tea across Chinese growing regions. Li et al., 2021. 225 paired soil and

plant samples from 45 gardens in 15 provinces; young-leaf means of 0.66 mg/kg lead, 0.06 mg/kg cadmium and 0.91 mg/kg chromium, with mature-leaf lead rising to 13.6 mg/kg.

[4] Heavy-metal contamination and health risk in tea across China: a meta-analysis. Hu et al., 2023. Meta-analysis of 227 papers reporting national means of 1.09 mg/kg lead, 0.14 mg/kg cadmium and 1.17 mg/kg total chromium, all below Chinese leaf limits with pollution rates under three percent, and about 80% of young-leaf lead attributed to atmospheric deposition.

[5] Aluminium and heavy metals in green tea leaves versus infusion, Jiangxi, China. Li et al., 2015. Mean leaf aluminium of 487.57 mg/kg, more than twenty times the next metal; lead, cadmium, copper and aluminium mainly remained in the leaf, with infusion concentrations falling on successive brews.

[6] Aluminium accumulation and infusion hazard index in tea, Puan County, Guizhou. Zhang et al., 2018. Mature-leaf aluminium of 4,300 to 10,400 mg/kg against 250 to 660 in young leaf; 38.46% of mature-tea infusions carried a hazard index above one, driven by aluminium and manganese, while all leaf-basis toxic metals sat below Chinese limits.

[7] Direct measurement of arsenic, lead and cadmium in green-tea infusions. Jurowski et al., 2023. Measured brewed infusions directly, reporting means of 0.891 µg/L lead, 0.325 µg/L total arsenic and 0.214 µg/L cadmium, within the provisional tolerable weekly intake even at 21 to 70 cups per week.

[8] Heavy Metal Index — tea multi-metal bioaccumulation (synthesis with full anchor-source records). The independent literature synthesis this analysis reframes, carrying every anchor source and its per-record page across eight datasets spanning leaf-basis and infusion-basis tea metals. · heavymetalindex.com

Cite this analysis