HEAVY METAL CERTIFIED · RESEARCH ANALYSIS

Why Wastewater Irrigation Co-Elevates Metals in One Crop

Karen Pendergrass · ORCID 0000-0002-2348-7259 · Heavy Metal Certified · August 2026

Abstract

Irrigating with untreated municipal wastewater or sewage-receiving effluent is a single upstream pathway that raises several heavy metals — lead, cadmium, total chromium and nickel — together in the same edible crop, and the co-elevation concentrates most strongly in leafy greens grown on peri-urban plots across the Global South. Five studies from Nigeria, Malawi, India, Burkina Faso and a thirteen-country lead meta-analysis converge on the same mechanism: because one water source carries the metals simultaneously, they co-vary in the crop, so a plot does not have a lead problem or a cadmium problem in isolation — it has a wastewater problem that surfaces as all of them at once. The certification consequence is direct: a single-analyte test on a wastewater-sourced leafy green characterises none of its co-elevated siblings, so the finished crop should be screened against a full multi-metal panel with irrigation provenance treated as a category-level risk descriptor. The full anchor-source evidence base lives on the Heavy Metal Index, the independent literature reference this analysis cites one way.

One Water Source, Several Metals at Once

Five independent studies drawn from four regions of the Global South converge on a single conclusion: irrigation with untreated municipal wastewater or sewage-receiving effluent is an upstream pathway that raises several metals at once — lead, cadmium, total chromium and nickel — in the same edible crop, and the elevation concentrates most strongly in leafy vegetables grown on peri-urban plots. A PRISMA systematic review and random-effects meta-analysis of 24 studies across 13 countries quantified the wastewater-to-soil-to-crop lead nexus, spanning 44 crops of which 38 were leafy and non-leafy vegetables [1]. Lead in untreated irrigation wastewater ranged from a mean of 0.0196 mg/L to 52.4 mg/L, half the sampled waters exceeded the WHO/FAO irrigation-water standard of 0.5 mg/L, and in every crop produced with untreated wastewater the edible-part lead exceeded WHO limits for edibility [1]. Between-study heterogeneity was very high (I-squared = 98 percent), so the load-bearing result is the direction and consistency of the exceedance, not a single pooled concentration.

The non-obvious element is that the driver is the water and the soil it loads over seasons, not the cultivar and not the local parent geology. Because one source carries several metals simultaneously, they co-vary in the crop: a plot irrigated with untreated wastewater does not have a lead problem or a cadmium problem in isolation, it has a wastewater problem that shows up as lead, cadmium, chromium and nickel together. That co-variation is precisely the signal a single-analyte check discards, because occurrence databases and per-metal limits are organised as independent metal-by-ingredient cells.

Co-Elevation in the Same Crop

The clearest single-crop demonstration comes from Bauchi, Nigeria, where six metals were measured in wastewater-grown African spinach (Amaranthus caudatus) from one irrigation farm. In the composite spinach sample, on the study's dried analytical basis, cadmium was 1.00 mg/kg, total chromium 1.65 mg/kg, nickel 7.70 mg/kg and lead 6.60 mg/kg — one crop, one irrigation source, several metals elevated together [2]. The lead value sat above the 2.50 mg/kg Indian Standard comparison limit the authors used; this is a single composite observation, not a distribution, and its absolute numbers are Nigerian wastewater context that should not be pooled with retail produce elsewhere.

A larger survey in Blantyre, Malawi sampled six vegetable species grown on plots irrigated with effluent from a wastewater treatment plant, with leaves and stems taken separately in triplicate. Against FAO/WHO limits of 0.2 mg/kg for cadmium, 2.3 mg/kg for total chromium and 0.3 mg/kg for lead on a dry-weight basis, 64 percent of samples exceeded the chromium limit, several exceeded the cadmium limit, and every species exceeded the lead limit, with health risk indices reaching 92.3 for one Brassica stem tissue against a threshold of 1 [3]. In both studies the chromium is total chromium and was not speciated, so it must not be read as hexavalent chromium.

Isolating the Irrigation Water as the Vector

The field surveys show co-elevation but cannot, on their own, prove the water is the cause rather than a correlate of contaminated ground. A controlled greenhouse experiment in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso supplies that link: seven commonly grown vegetables were irrigated at four arsenic concentrations (0, 100, 500 and 1,000 micrograms per litre) in a 168-pot design, isolating the irrigation-water concentration as the only variable and measuring total arsenic in the edible parts by ICP-MS [4]. Averaged across the spiked levels, edible-part arsenic followed a clear accumulation ranking by crop type:

Crop category	Mean edible-part arsenic (µg/g dry mass)
Leafy vegetables	4.9
Root vegetables	2.9
Fruit and pod vegetables	0.8

The ranking — leafy greens above root vegetables above fruit and pod — is the physiological reason the pathway expresses itself most visibly in spinach, lettuce and amaranth [4]. Two honest caveats travel with this source: the arsenic modelled here is geogenic (naturally arsenic-rich groundwater), not sewage effluent, and all values are total arsenic under sustained high-dose irrigation, so they establish the dose-response direction and the accumulation ordering, not field-occurrence values for commercial produce.

Why Conventional Surveillance Misses It

A fourth independent group reproduced the leafy signal in Hisar, Haryana, India, measuring six metals in vegetables on domestic-wastewater-irrigated farmland: spinach carried the highest cadmium (1.30 mg/kg) and the highest nickel (2.45 mg/kg), while cabbage carried the highest lead (4.23 mg/kg) [5]. Four countries, four research groups, one pathway, and the leafy vegetables at the top of the metal load each time.

The reason conventional monitoring underdetects this is structural. First, a program that queries lead in spinach, cadmium in spinach and chromium in spinach as three separate cells can find each individually near its limit and never register that one upstream cause raised all three in step. Second, point-in-time water and soil sampling can miss the exposure entirely: in the Malawi system cadmium, chromium and lead were below detection in the wastewater and below WHO limits in the soil (cadmium 0.24, chromium 38.14, lead 11.57 mg/kg) at the sampling time, yet the vegetables exceeded the food limits, because the exposure is the integral of years of soil loading and the bioconcentration step, not the instantaneous water concentration [3]. A source cleared by a single water test, or a field cleared by one topsoil test, can still grow non-compliant crops. Third, the pathway concentrates on peri-urban and informal plots that sit outside audited supply-chain testing, so origin history is itself a risk factor a finished-product single-metal test may not surface.

What this means for certification

The consequence for a certification standard follows from the co-elevation itself. Because one water source raises several metals in step, a single-analyte check on a wastewater-sourced leafy green characterises neither the cadmium, the nickel, the total chromium, nor — in arsenic-rich groundwater regions — the arsenic that the same water delivered. The operative instrument is a full multi-metal panel run on the finished crop tissue, with irrigation-water provenance treated as a category-level risk descriptor for leafy greens and other high-accumulating vegetables from regions with documented wastewater or sewage-effluent use.

Two design choices follow. Characterisation should attach to the crop, not to a one-time water or soil clearance, because an irrigation source and a topsoil can both read within limits at a single time point while the crop grown on that plot exceeds the food limits [3]. And a total-chromium exceedance in a wastewater-irrigated crop is a flag for speciation follow-up, not a hexavalent-chromium value, since every chromium figure in these studies is total chromium.

On the numbers, the Heavy Metal Certified default for any product and analyte is the strictest maximum level set by a credible government regulator [6], converted to the product's own basis. Because lead and cadmium are two of the four Tier-1 toxics (lead, cadmium, inorganic arsenic and methylmercury) the program treats most strictly, their leafy-green ceilings may be set to the stricter of that government maximum and the cleaner end of the occurrence evidence; nickel and hexavalent chromium are Tier-2 and adopt the government maximum directly. The field-study concentrations reported here are context values from specific Global South production systems, not a pooled occurrence distribution, so they tell a certifier where to look and what to panel for, not the numeric ceiling itself. The finding is not that leafy greens are inherently unsafe; it is that a shared upstream source can raise several metals at once, and only a panel that measures all of them — on the crop that reaches the plate — can see it.

Frequently asked questions

Does wastewater irrigation raise more than one heavy metal in the same crop?

Yes. Because untreated municipal wastewater or sewage effluent carries several metals in one applied water stream, they load the soil and enter the crop together, so lead, cadmium, total chromium and nickel co-vary rather than varying independently. Field surveys in Nigeria, Malawi and India each document this multi-metal co-elevation in wastewater-irrigated vegetables.

Which crops are most affected by wastewater irrigation?

Leafy greens such as spinach, lettuce, amaranth and leafy Brassica crops accumulate the most. A controlled greenhouse experiment found an accumulation ranking of leafy vegetables above root vegetables above fruit and pod vegetables, and the field surveys, which sampled mainly leafy and some root crops, are consistent with the leafy end of that ordering.

Can a clean irrigation-water or soil test clear a wastewater-irrigated plot?

Not reliably. In the Malawi study, cadmium, chromium and lead were below detection in the wastewater and below limits in the soil at the sampling time, yet the vegetables still exceeded the food limits. The exposure builds over years of soil loading and plant uptake, so testing the finished crop is what reveals it.

Why does a single-metal test miss this contamination?

The pathway's signature is co-elevation, so measuring lead alone in a wastewater-sourced leafy green characterises neither the cadmium, the nickel, the total chromium, nor the arsenic the same water may have delivered. A per-metal reading can pass each analyte near its limit while the shared upstream cause has raised all of them, which is why a full multi-metal panel is the honest test.

Is the total chromium in these studies the same as hexavalent chromium?

No. Every chromium figure in these studies is total chromium and was not speciated, so it must not be read as hexavalent chromium. In a certification context a total-chromium exceedance in a wastewater-irrigated crop is a trigger for speciation follow-up, not a hexavalent-chromium value in itself.

References

[1] Meta-analysis of lead across the wastewater, irrigated soil and crop nexus. Ali et al., 2022. PRISMA random-effects meta-analysis of 24 studies across 13 countries; half of untreated irrigation waters exceeded the 0.5 mg/L lead standard and edible-part lead exceeded WHO limits in every wastewater-grown crop, with heterogeneity of I-squared = 98 percent.

[2] Heavy metals and health risk in wastewater-irrigated spinach, Bauchi, Nigeria. Adebayo et al., 2020. A single wastewater-grown African spinach sample carrying cadmium, total chromium, nickel and lead elevated together, with lead at 6.60 mg/kg above the 2.50 mg/kg comparison limit.

[3] Heavy-metal accumulation in wastewater-irrigated vegetables, Blantyre, Malawi. Chiutula et al., 2025. 64 percent of vegetable samples exceeded the chromium limit and every species exceeded the lead limit, while the wastewater and soil read below limits at the sampling time.

[4] Uptake of arsenic by irrigated vegetables in a controlled greenhouse dose-response, Burkina Faso. Clair-Caliot et al., 2021. A 168-pot arsenate-irrigation experiment isolating the water as the transfer vector; edible-part arsenic ranked leafy above root above fruit and pod vegetables.

[5] Heavy metals in soil and vegetables on wastewater-irrigated farmland, Hisar, India. Pal et al., 2017. Spinach carried the highest cadmium and nickel and cabbage the highest lead on domestic-wastewater-irrigated farmland, reproducing the leafy multi-metal signal in a fourth country.

[6] WHO/FAO food and irrigation-water limits for lead, cadmium and chromium. World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization. Food comparison limits of cadmium 0.2, chromium 2.3 and lead 0.3 mg/kg dry weight, and the 0.5 mg/L lead irrigation-water standard cited across these studies.

[7] Heavy Metal Index — wastewater-irrigation multi-metal crop pathway (synthesis with full anchor-source records). The independent literature synthesis this analysis reframes, carrying every anchor source and its per-record page. · heavymetalindex.com

Cite this analysis