

Before the Meat Label Prints

Karen Pendergrass · ORCID 0000-0002-2348-7259 · 2026-09-16

Karen Pendergrass. "Before the Meat Label Prints". <https://heavymetalcertified.com/briefings/before-the-meat-label-prints>. Retrieved 2026-10-02.

Abstract

FSIS permits compliant generically approved labels without individual agency review. Labels requiring submission, including special claims within the rule, must receive the appropriate approval before use ^{[1][2]}. A certification mark's wording and context determine the applicable route. That review has a settled instinct: it accepts a claim that is defined and substantiated, and it objects to a word that implies a general, unmeasured virtue: *pure, clean, free of*: that the label does not define and the file does not support ^{[3][4]}. This briefing sets out why the Heavy Metal Tested and Certified mark is engineered for the first case and not the second, and what an establishment files to place it on a regulated pack.

The label is approved before it prints

Most food labels in the United States are never read by a regulator before they reach a shelf; the manufacturer is responsible for compliance, and the agency acts after the fact. Meat, poultry, and egg products are the exception. FSIS operates a system of prior label approval: some labels qualify for what the regulation calls generic approval, meaning the establishment may apply the label without submitting it, but that path is available only when the label bears nothing beyond the mandatory features and a defined set of ordinary claims ^[1]. A label with a special statement or claim requiring evaluation must be submitted as a sketch to the Labeling and Program Delivery Staff before use ^{[1][2]}. The directive addresses meat and poultry inspection and points to separate instructions for egg-product verification.

Certification claims can require sketch approval, but the applicable rule and guidance must be checked for the particular wording and any generic or previously approved use ^{[1][6]}. When submission is required, the establishment sends a sketch label, Form 7234-1, and supporting documentation through LSAS or by mail ^{[2][5]}. The sketch need not be the finished printed label. An approval for one use does not establish blanket FDA or USDA endorsement of a certifier.

What the review is looking for

The standard the reviewer applies is short and old. A meat or poultry label may not be false or misleading in any particular ^[3]. The question the reviewer asks of any claim is whether it is truthful, whether it is substantiated, and whether an ordinary buyer could be misled by it. Against that question, some words fare badly. A term that asserts a general and pleasing quality without saying what the quality is, that a product is pure, that it is clean, that it is free of something unnamed, invites the objection that it is either undefined or

unprovable, and the agency's guidance and its Food Standards and Labeling Policy Book have long treated such terms as claims that must be qualified and supported or removed ^[3]^[4]. The word carries a promise the file cannot close.

This is not a hypothesis about how the review behaves. Certifiers whose marks lean on the vocabulary of purity have had to redraw them for the regulated aisle, narrowing a general seal into a defined and substantiated statement so that it would survive the sketch ^[6]. The lesson is not that a certification cannot appear on a meat label. It is that the certification has to arrive as a defined claim, with its definition and its proof already attached, rather than as an adjective the reviewer is asked to take on faith.

The mark is a measurement, not an adjective

The Heavy Metal Tested and Certified mark was designed, for reasons that predate any question about meat labels, to be the first kind of claim and not the second. It does not assert that a product is clean, or pure, or safe. It asserts that a specific product was tested at or below every published maximum for its subcategory, on a ten-analyte panel, in the product's native basis, and it carries a scope line that says so, and a verification address where the reader can confirm it against the record ^[7]. The claim is a claim about a measurement, and the measurement is published in advance. That is the whole architecture of the mark, and it is described in full on the page that governs its use.

Set that architecture against what the reviewer is looking for and the fit is not incidental. The reviewer wants a claim that is defined: the mark's definition is the published subcategory standard, a number in a stated basis, fixed before the product is tested. The reviewer wants a claim that is substantiated: the substantiation is an accredited-laboratory result for the lot, held on file, against a standard the reader can open. The reviewer wants a claim an ordinary buyer cannot be misled by: the scope line prevents the mark from reading as a blanket assurance, because it states precisely what was measured and to what limit. The mark does not ask the reviewer for trust. It hands the reviewer the definition and the proof that the review exists to demand.

There is a second-order point worth stating plainly, because it is the commercial one. The words that draw the objection are the words a marketer reaches for: *clean*, *pure*, *toxin-free*, and their weakness on a meat label is the same as their weakness everywhere: they are claims about a quality nobody can measure at the shelf. A mark that instead reports a measurement is stronger precisely where the softer seals are weak, and the federal review that filters the two is not an obstacle the mark has to survive. It is a filter the mark was built to pass.

What an establishment files

Because the approval attaches to the label and the applicant is the inspected establishment, the certifier's role is to arrive with the file already assembled. Where submission is required, a brand supplies the sketch label, Form 7234-1 and supporting documentation through LSAS or by mail. The establishment retains the final label, formulation, processing procedure, supporting evidence and applicable approval record ^[2]^[5]. For the HMTc claim, this briefing proposes five supporting items: the current certificate, naming the product, the facility, and the term; the published subcategory standard the mark refers to, which is the definition of the claim; the accredited-laboratory report for the certified lot, which is its substantiation; the trademark licence

authorising the establishment to display the mark; and the public verification address printed with the mark, at which the standard and the certificate can be confirmed. These proposed items support assessment of the claim; they are not an agency-prescribed five-document checklist or a guarantee of approval.

The program supplies four of the five as a matter of course, because they are the same artefacts the certification already produces: the certificate, the published standard, the licence, and the verification address exist before any label is drawn. What the establishment adds is its own lot result and its own label. The submission is therefore not a research project undertaken at label time; it is the assembly of documents the certification was designed, from the outset, to generate.

References

Works cited in this briefing’s text, in first-appearance order. Primary legal instruments and agency guidance are named as the record of the requirement they state; they are not corpus records and are not linked to one.

[1]	9 CFR 412.1: Label approval	LEGISLATION
	9 CFR 412.1, <i>Label approval</i> . Prior label approval and the categories eligible for generic approval; labels bearing special statements and claims must be submitted for sketch approval.	
[2]	FSIS Directive 7221.1: Prior Labeling Approval	GUIDANCE
	USDA FSIS Directive 7221.1, Revision 4 (10 December 2025), <i>Prior Labeling Approval</i> . Federal text reproduced after Maine’s separate state cover sheet. Government-hosted copy ^[2] .	
[3]	9 CFR 317.8 and 9 CFR 381.129: False or misleading labeling or containers	LEGISLATION
	9 CFR 317.8 and 9 CFR 381.129, <i>False or misleading labeling or containers</i> . The prohibition on any label statement that is false or misleading in any particular.	
[4]	Food Standards and Labeling Policy Book	GUIDANCE
	USDA FSIS, <i>Food Standards and Labeling Policy Book</i> . Agency treatment of qualitative terms, including “pure,” that must be defined and substantiated.	
[5]	Label Submission and Approval System (LSAS)	GOVERNMENT
	USDA FSIS, <i>Label Submission and Approval System (LSAS)</i> . The system of record for submitting sketch labels for evaluation.	
[6]	Compliance Guideline for Label Approval	GUIDANCE

USDA FSIS, *Compliance Guideline for Label Approval*. Guidance on which claims require submission and on the substantiation expected for special claims.

[7]

Heavy Metal Tested and Certified: Using the mark

PROGRAM RULES

Heavy Metal Tested and Certified, *Using the mark*. What the mark asserts, the ten-analyte panel, the native-basis rule, and the required scope line. Available at heavymetalcertified.com/mark.
